A Longitudinal, Integrated, Clinical, Histological and mRNA Profiling Study of Resistance Exercise in Myositis

Gustavo A Nader,^{1,2} Maryam Dastmalchi,² Helene Alexanderson,^{2,3} Cecilia Grundtman,^{2,4} Ramkishore Gernapudi,¹ Mona Esbjörnsson,⁵ Zuyi Wang,¹ Johan Rönnelid,⁶ Eric P Hoffman,¹ Kanneboyina Nagaraju,¹ and Ingrid E Lundberg²

¹Research Center for Genetic Medicine, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA; ²Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ³Department of Physical Therapy, Karolinska University Hospital Solna, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁴ current address: Division of Experimental Pathophysiology and Immunology, Laboratory of Autoimmunity, Biocenter, Innsbruck Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria; ⁵Division of Clinical Physiology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁶Unit of Clinical Immunology, Uppsala University, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden

Polymyositis and dermatomyositis are orphan, chronic skeletal muscle disorders characterized by weakness, infiltrations by mononuclear inflammatory cells, and fibrosis. Until recently, patients were advised to refrain from physical activity because of fears of exacerbation of muscle inflammation. However, recent studies have shown that moderate exercise training in combination with immunosuppressive drugs can improve muscle performance. Despite the positive effects of exercise training, the molecular mechanisms underlying the exercise-associated clinical improvements remain poorly understood. The present study was designed to define, at the molecular level, the effects of resistance exercise training on muscle performance and disease progression in myositis patients. We evaluated changes in muscle strength, histology and genome-wide mRNA profiles to determine the beneficial effects of exercise and determine the possible molecular changes associated with improved muscle performance. A total of 8 myositis patients underwent a 7-wk resistance exercise training program that resulted in improved muscle strength and increased maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2max}). Training also resulted in marked reductions in gene expression, reflecting reductions in proinflammatory and profibrotic gene networks, changes that were also accompanied by a reduction in tissue fibrosis. Consistent with the exercise-associated increase in VO_{2max}, a subset of transcripts was associated with a shift toward oxidative metabolism. The changes in gene expression reported in the present study are in agreement with the performance improvements induced by exercise and suggest that resistance exercise training can induce a reduction in inflammation and fibrosis in skeletal muscle. **© 2010 The Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, www.feinsteininstitute.org**

Online address: http://www.molmed.org doi: 10.2119/molmed.2010.00016

INTRODUCTION

Polymyositis and dermatomyositis are chronic, autoimmune skeletal muscle disorders characterized by proximal weakness and infiltration of mononuclear inflammatory cells. Current pharmacological treatment is based on high doses of glucocorticoids in combination with other immunosuppressive drugs. Most patients respond with improved muscle performance, but many are left with impaired muscle function and reduced health-related quality of life (1). Several factors could contribute to the sustained muscle impairment despite immunosuppressive treatments. Longitudinal studies of patients with persisting muscle weakness have demonstrated phenotypical changes of muscle tissue, including persisting major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I expression in muscle fibers and activation markers in endothelial cells of microvessels (2). In some cases, muscle fibrosis develops, in-

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Ingrid E Lundberg, Rheumatology Unit D2:01, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, SE-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden. Phone: + 46-8-5177 6087; Fax: + 46-8-5177 3080; E-mail: ingrid.lundberg@ki.se. Submitted February 11, 2010; accepted for publication August 11, 2010; Epub (www.molmed.org) ahead of print August 16, 2010. dicating repeated cycles of damage and repair. In addition, metabolic impairment occurs, leading to an acquired metabolic myopathy characterized by low levels of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and phosphocreatine and decreased fatigue resistance (3). All these muscle features are shared by the two subsets of the disease (polymyositis and dermatomyositis). Until recently, patients were advised to refrain from physical activity because of fears of exacerbation of muscle inflammation and disease progression. However, recent studies have shown that moderate exercise in combination with immunosuppressive drugs can improve muscle performance without signs of increased muscle inflammation, suggesting that exercise represents a viable therapeutic intervention for autoimmune myositis patients (4,5). Therefore, understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying the exercise-induced performance improvements could yield important information for the development of novel interventions for autoimmune inflammatory myopathy patients.

The predominating molecules in muscle tissue of polymyositis and dermatomyositis patients with muscle weakness are proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, as well as profibrotic transforming growth factor (TGF)-β. Both subsets have a similar molecular expression profile. The most consistently expressed cytokines in different phases of both polymyositis and dermatomyositis are interleukin (IL)-1 and the alarmin high-mobility group box chromosomal protein (HMGB)-1 (6-8). These cytokines have been detected in muscle tissue with a higher expression than in healthy individuals in both the early and late chronic phase of the disease, even without detectable inflammatory cell infiltrates. This occurrence suggests a potential role in muscle function impairment, similar to the negative effect of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) on muscle fiber contractility (9). Another mechanism that could lead to muscle weakness in chronic muscle inflammation is infiltration of muscle tissue by fibrosis. When present, muscle fibrosis is characterized by excessive accumulation of collagen and other extracellular matrix (ECM) components. This dynamic process is controlled by a host of processing factors responsible for enzymatic cleavage, assembly, cross-linking, elasticity and turnover of collagen. Fibrosis development involves extensive structural disorganization and remodeling of the ECM, in part owing to the altered release of fibrogenic cytokines such as TGF- β 1 (10).

The aim of the present study was to define, in molecular terms, the potential mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of resistance exercise in autoimmune inflammatory myopathy patients. Consistent with our previous findings, we show that 7 weeks of resistance exercise resulted in increased performance along with the modulations of proinflammatory and profibrotic genes. In addition, several genes associated with enhanced metabolism were also positively modulated in line with the increase in performance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eight autoimmune inflammatory myopathy patients (five patients with dermatomyositis and three with polymyositis) (11) participated in a resistance exercise program at the Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden (12). Median age was 51 years (range, 44-61 years), and median disease duration was 4.5 years (range, 2.7-29.0 years). More detailed clinical characteristics have previously been published (12). All patients had been treated with glucocorticoids and other immunosuppressive therapies for >12 months, with some improvement of muscle function but with persisting muscle function impairment. The patients were on stable medication before and during the study and had undetected disease activity for 3 months before the beginning of the resistance exercise training program. Maximal oxygen uptake per kilogram at peak exercise (VO_{2max}) was measured according to standard procedures and was determined as the highest value recorded during the last minutes of the exercise test (13). Disease activity was measured by the clinical outcome measure myositis intention-to-treat activity index (MITAX) and by serum creatinine kinase levels (14). Serum complement 1q (C1q) was measured by kinetic nephelometry and compared with a reference curve from a pool of sera from the blood of healthy individuals. The study was approved by the local ethics committee at the Karolinska University Hospital (Solna, Stockholm, Sweden) and the Children's National Medical Center Institutional Review Board (Washington, DC, USA). All patients gave informed consent to participate.

Training Protocol

The resistance exercise training protocol was described in detail previously (12). Briefly, patients underwent a supervised resistance exercise training regimen with an intensity of 10 voluntary repetition maximum (VRM) 3 d/wk for 7 wks. The training involved five muscles groups (deltoid, quadriceps, latissimus dorsi/biceps, gastrocnemius and trunk muscles) 3 d/wk for 7 wks. The clinical and laboratory assessment as well as functional tests were defined previously (12).

Muscle Biopsies

Three to five muscle biopsy samples per patient were obtained from the vastus lateralis muscle using the percutaneous conchotome technique (15). The prebiopsy was taken 1 wk before the initiation of the training period, and the postbiopsy was taken 1 wk after the last exercise training session. The pre- and postbiopsies were taken from opposite limbs to avoid effects of successive biopsies (16) frozen in liquid nitrogen–cooled isopentane and stored at –70°C until further analysis.

RNA Preparation and Expression Profiling

Expression profiling was performed for each individual sample using Affymetrix microarrays following standard operating procedures and quality controls as reported (17). Briefly, total RNA was extracted from frozen muscle biopsies using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), cleaned and concentrated using the RNeasy Minielute Kit (Qiagen, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and quantified spectrophotometrically at 260 nm. RNA integrity was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis, and 2 µg was used for cDNA synthesis. The double-stranded cDNA was subjected to a 16-h in vitro transcription at 37°C in the presence of biotin-labeled nucleotides using a one-round amplification strategy. The resulting biotin-labeled cRNA was cleaned, fragmented and hybridized to whole genome Affymetrix

U133 plus 2.0 arrays. After overnight hybridizations at 45°C, microarrays were washed and stained on an Affymetrix Fluidics Station 450 and scanned on an Affymetrix GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Qiagen).

Microarray Data Analysis

All array images were visually inspected for errors and probe set grid alignment. Once the arrays passed, all stringent quality-control measures, including scaling factor <5, present calls >35% and 3'/5' GAPDH ratios <3, were subjected to probe set absolute-intensity calculations in MAS 5 (Qiagen). In addition, all arrays were subjected to a cross-array analysis to identify any outliers using DChip (18). Statistical analysis was done using GeneSpring GX (Silicon Genetics, Redwood City, CA, USA). Additional stringent qualitycontrol measures were performed by filtering out probe set intensities close to zero and using only probe sets flagged as "present" in half of the samples (50% P calls). Sample normalizations included a "per chip" normalization (50th percentile) and a paired sample normalization in which each subject's pretraining biopsy served as the control for the posttraining biopsy. Statistical significance was determined using a paired *t* test with an α level of 0.05 and a 1.5-fold difference in gene expression between pre- and posttraining. All data corresponding to the microarray experiments, including chip files, are publicly available via http://www.cmm.ki.se/gustavo.

Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction Target Validation

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed to validate the expression of selected genes obtained with the microarray platform. Briefly, cDNA was synthesized from total RNA (100 ng) from the same patient population using the cDNA archive kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Labeled primers (FAM) were added to the samples together with 12.5 µL TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix. Human GAPDH (VIC labeled; ABI, Seattle, WA, USA) was used as an endogenous control. Reactions were performed on the ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System, as recommended by manufacturers. PCR amplifications were performed in triplicate, multiplexed with the endogenous control primers. Differences in gene expression were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta^{Ct}$ method, which is based on the difference in threshold cycles (Δ^{Ct}) between the target gene and endogenous control. The following primers were used: C1S (Hs00156159_m1), COMP (Hs00164359_m1), CCL-14 (Hs00234981_m1), CCR1 (Hs00174298_m1), COL1α (Hs00164004_m1), CTGF (Hs00170014_m1), FGF1 (Hs00265254 m1), *IL10Rβ* (Hs00175123 m1), IL2Rγ(Hs00173950_m1), IRAK3 (Hs00200502 m1), LTBP1 (Hs00386448 m1), RFX-2 (Hs00172177_m1), and VAV1 (Hs00232108_m1).

Analysis of Biologically Relevant Gene Networks

To determine functional relationships among the identified genes, we analyzed our dataset with the Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (Ingenuity Systems[®], www.ingenuity.com). From the experimental dataset, genes of interest were selected and a functional analysis was carried out. The resulting associations were computed and displayed in a series of interacting networks. The significance, which is a measure for how likely it is that genes from the dataset file participate in that function, is expressed as a *P* value. This result is calculated using the right-tailed Fisher exact test by comparing the number of user-specified genes of interest (i.e., functional analysis genes) that participate in a given function or pathway, relative to the total number of occurrences of these genes in all functional/ pathway annotations stored in the Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge database. Significance in the ingenuity analyses refers to the –log (*P* value). For example, if the *P* value for a specific high-level function in one analysis is 1×10^{-10} , its significance is 10. The lower the *P* value for a function, the more significant it is.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed as described by Grundtman et al. (19) in seven of eight patients because there was an insufficient amount of tissue in one patient. Primary antibodies used were monoclonal antihuman CD3 (SK7), CD4 (SK3) (both from Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA), CD163 (Ber-MAC3, Dako Denmark A/S, Glostrup, Denmark), FOXP3 (236A/E7, eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA), MHC class I [W6/32(5) Dako Denmark A/S], MHC class II (L243 Becton-Dickinson), IL-1a (1277-89-7) and IL-1 β (2D8) (both from Immunokontakt/AMS Biotechnology [Europe], Bioggio [Lugano], Switzerland), and IL-1Ra (10309), IL-1RI (35730) and IL-1RII (832437) (all from R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK). For HMGB-1, a rabbit antibody was used (BD, Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA). As secondary antibodies, we used biotinylated F(ab)2 fragmented goat antimouse IgG (Caltag Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) and biotinylated goat antirabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). As negative controls, we used mouse irrelevant IgG1 (DAK-G01), IgG2 (DAK-G059) and negative control rabbit immunoglobulin fraction, all from Dako Denmark A/S. Coded tissue sections were evaluated by conventional microscopic assessment on whole tissue sections by two independent observers with concordant results. For quantification, we measured specific immunostaining on whole tissue sections by computed image analysis for CD3, CD163, IL-1α and HMGB-1. The results are presented as a percentage of total positively stained tissue area. For MHC class I and class II expression, the percentage of positive fibers was estimated (2). Because of variations between individual tissue samples, a significant change was defined as at least doubling

or reduction to half expression between the two biopsies (20).

Quantification of Muscle Fibrosis

Histochemical analysis was carried out on coded frozen skeletal muscle tissue sections stained by Gomori's trichrome procedure (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Digital images were obtained using light microscopy (objective 40×) and computerized image capture (Olympus C.A.S.T. Stereology System, Olympus America, Center Valley, PA, USA). Samples were analyzed randomly to avoid any bias when determining fibrosis. Connective tissue area was expressed as a ratio of the number of counted dots divided by the total number of dots on the overlay (21). In addition, collagen I content was assessed by Western blotting using an anticollagen I antibody (1:1,000, Abcam). Proteins were homogenized in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer containing 1% NP-40 and 10× of each protease and phosphatase inhibitor (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA), separated in 10% resolving gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Protein bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK) and quantified densitometrically with Quest software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The band intensities corresponding to collagen I (~95 kDa) were normalized to the intensity of the corresponding lanes in the membranes visualized after Indian ink staining (loading control).

Statistical Analyses

StatView (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA, USA) was used for statistical analyses of clinical, immunohistochemistry and serum data. Because of non-normal distribution of immunological markers in muscle biopsies, nonparametric methods were used. The Wilcoxon signed-rank procedure tested for within-group changes. A parametric method was applied (Student *t* test for paired observations) to establish the level of statistical significance in VO_{2max} changes. Changes in collagen I content were calculated from the Western blot band intensities using a paired *t* test with significance established at P < 0.05. The Pearson moment correlation was calculated in Microsoft Excel.

RESULTS

Clinical Data

Muscle strength increased, serum levels of creatinine kinase decreased and clinical disease activity score (MITAX) improved as previously reported (12). Resistance exercise also resulted in decreased median serum levels of C1q from 141 mg/L (range, 80–330 mg/L) to 69 mg/L (range, 42–147 mg/L) (P = 0.01) (reference value 70–300 mg/L). A significant increase was demonstrated in maximal absolute and relative oxygen uptake (VO_{2max}) from 1.88 ± 0.3 to 2.20 ± 0.3 L × min⁻¹ (P < 0.001) and from 26 ± 3 to 31 ± 3 mL × min⁻¹ × kg⁻¹ (P < 0.001), respectively. VO_{2max} values are means ± SD.

Global Changes in Gene Expression in Muscle Tissue after Resistance Exercise

The 7-wk training program resulted in the modulation of 265 transcripts. The predominant enriched ontologies associated with these transcripts were inflammation, fibrosis and metabolism. When these genes were cross-validated against a disease database during the ingenuity pathways analysis, we found that the majority are involved in diseases characterized by inflammation and fibrosis. Ontological analyses of selected genes revealed that 41 transcripts (15.5%) were associated with inflammatory processes, 25 transcripts (9.4%) with fibrotic processes and 7 transcripts (2.6%) with metabolic regulation. We focused on these enriched categories because they best represent the pathogenesis of autoimmune myositis (Table 1). As an initial validation of our microarray results, key representative target genes were analyzed by qRT-PCR. There was a good correlation ($R^2 = 0.62$) between the changes in gene expression detected by

microarray and qRT-PCR with the changes showing both qualitative and quantitative similarity (Figure 1).

Resistance Exercise Downregulated Proinflammatory and Upregulated Antiinflammatory Genes

In total, 41 genes involved in inflammation changed significantly, and 34 of these were downregulated (Table 1). The genes with reduced expression could be categorized into those that are involved in T-cell activation and regulation, such as *chemokine* (C-X3C motif) receptor 1 (CCR1), CD44 antigen, *IL-2Rγ*, *MHC*–*F* and *dedicator* of cytokinesis 2 (DOCK2), or those involved in macrophage/monocyte activation, such as colony stimulating factor 2 receptor β (CSF2RB), VAV1 oncogene (VAV1), interleukin receptor-associated kinase 3 (IRAK3) and lipopolysaccharide-induced TNF factor (LITAF). The gene for the proinflammatory cytokine HMGB-1 was significantly downregulated (P < 0.03), but the change did not pass the 1.5 cutoff fold change (-1.27). Other genes involved in inflammation that were downregulated were clusterin (CLU), neurofilament light polypeptide (NEFL), CCR1, and prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 1 (PTGS1). Additionally, a few antiinflammatory genes were upregulated, as was FOXP3, a marker of regulatory T-cells. Additionally, our bioinformatic analysis revealed that several genes regulated by TNFα were negatively modulated, suggesting that they may play a role in the antiinflammatory effects of resistance exercise in polymyositis/ dermatomyositis (Figure 2A). A hypothetical model of this regulatory gene network that may mediate the effects of exercise on local tissue inflammation is presented in Figure 2B.

Resistance Exercise Downregulated Profibrotic, Upregulated Antifibrotic Genes and Reduced Tissue Fibrosis

Twenty-five genes related to collagen synthesis changed significantly (Table 1). The majority of these profibrotic genes displayed decreased expression (22 of 25).

| Table | 1. Exercise | effects on | gene | expression | (selected | genes) |
|--------------|-------------|------------|------|------------|-----------|--------|
|--------------|-------------|------------|------|------------|-----------|--------|

| Gene symbol | Gene name | Affymetrix ID | Fold-change | Р |
|--------------------|---|---------------|-------------|-------|
| Inflammation genes | | | | |
| ADAMTS12 | ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 12 | 221421_s_at | -1.6 | 0.037 |
| ADAMTS5 | ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 5 (aggrecanase-2) | 219935_at | -1.5 | 0.015 |
| C1S | Complement component 1, s subcomponent | 208747_s_at | -1.5 | 0.036 |
| CBL | Cas-Br-M (murine) ecotropic retroviral transforming sequence | 225231_at | -1.6 | 0.003 |
| CBLB | Cas-Br-M (murine) ecotropic retroviral transforming sequence b | 233614_at | -1.6 | 0.022 |
| CCL14 | Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 14 | 205392_s_at | -2.1 | 0.022 |
| CCR1 | Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 1 | 205098_at | -1.8 | 0.007 |
| CD200 | CD200 antigen | 209583_s_at | -1.6 | 0.012 |
| CD226 | CD226 antigen | 207315_at | 1.7 | 0.042 |
| CD244 | CD244 natural killer cell receptor 2B4 | 234320_at | 2.9 | 0.02 |
| CD44 | CD44 antigen (Indian blood group) | 1565868_at | -1.6 | 0.05 |
| CD81 | CD81 antigen (target of antiproliferative antibody 1) | 200675_at | -1.5 | 0.02 |
| CHL1 | Cell adhesion molecule with homology to L1CAM (close homolog of L1) | 204591_at | -1.9 | 0.017 |
| CHST3 | Carbohydrate (chondroitin 6) sulfotransferase 3 | 209834_at | -1.5 | 0.031 |
| CLU | Clusterin (complement lysis inhibitor, SP-40,40, sulfated glycoprotein 2, | 208791_at | -1.7 | 0.03 |
| | testosterone-repressed prostate message 2, apolipoprotein J) | | | |
| CSF2RB | Colony stimulating factor 2 receptor, beta, low-affinity | 205159_at | -1.6 | 0.035 |
| | (granulocyte-macrophage) | | | |
| DOCK2 | Dedicator of cytokinesis 2 | 213160_at | -2.3 | 0.013 |
| FOXP3 | Forkhead box P3 | 221334_s_at | 2.2 | 0.033 |
| HDAC2 | Histone deacetylase 2 | 242141_at | -2.4 | 0.045 |
| HLA-F | Major histocompatibility complex, class I, F | 221978_at | -1.6 | 0.01 |
| IL10RB | Interleukin 10 receptor, beta | 209575_at | -1.5 | 0.007 |
| IL2RG | Interleukin 2 receptor, γ (severe combined immunodeficiency) | 204116_at | -1.5 | 0.027 |
| IRAK3 | Interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 3 | | -1.9 | 0.009 |
| KLF4 | Kruppel-like factor 4 (aut) | 221841 s at | -1.8 | 0.006 |
| LITAF | Lipopolysaccharide-induced TNF factor | 200706 s at | -2.3 | 0.002 |
| NLK | Nemo-like kinase | 238624 at | -2.2 | 0.018 |
| NPY2R | Neuropeptide Y receptor Y2 | 210730 s at | 2.1 | 0.019 |
| OPRK1 | Opioid receptor, kappa 1 | 229944 at | -3.3 | 0.023 |
| PAG | Phosphoprotein associated with alycosphingolipid microdomains 1 | 225622 at | -1.5 | 0.016 |
| PELI2 | Pellino homolog 2 (Drosophila) | 219132 at | -1.7 | 0.028 |
| PRTN3 | Proteinase 3 (serine proteinase, neutrophil, Wegener granulomatosis autoantiaen) | 207341_at | 2.2 | 0.01 |
| PTGS1/COX1 | Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 1 (prostaglandin G/H synthase and cyclooxygengee) | 238669_at | -1.7 | 0.019 |
| REI | v-rel Reticuloendatheliosis viral oncogene homolog (gvign) | 206035 at | -17 | 0.035 |
| REX2 | Regulatory factor X 2 (influences HI A class II expression) | 240156 at | -1.7 | 0.028 |
| REX.3 | Regulatory factor X, 2 (Influences HLA class II expression) | 240867 at | 1.7 | 0.020 |
| RTKN | Rhotekin | 225150 s at | -2 1 | 0.02, |
| S100A13 | S100 calcium bindina protein A13 | 213/81 at | _2 | 0.04 |
| SEMAND | Sema domain, immunoalohulin domain (la), transmembrane domain (TM) | 1562250 at | 2 / | 0.040 |
| | and short cytoplasmic domain, (semaphorin) 4D | | 2.7 | 0.00 |
| ICIRG1 | I-cell, immune regulator 1, ATPase, H + transporting, lysosomal V0 protein A3 | 204158_s_at | -2.3 | 0.048 |
| ILIR8 | IoII-like receptor 8 | 229560_at | -1.5 | 0.049 |
| VAV1 | Vav I oncogene | 206219_s_at | -3.5 | 0.031 |

Continued on next page

Increased expression was found in the *latent TGF-* β 1 *binding protein* 1 (*LTBP-*1), which is a negative regulator of TGF- β (for example, an antifibrotic gene). Consistent with the decrease in tissue fibrosis

genes, collagen gene expression was also reduced (*COL1A1*, *COL14A1*, *COL5A2*) (Table 1). The functionally relevant *TGF-* β receptors 1 and 2 (*TGFBR* 1 and 2) did not pass the fold-change cutoff (1.36and 1.15-fold) but did reach statistical significance (P < 0.02 and P < 0.04, respectively). Again, our bioinformatic analysis indicated that several genes regulated by TGF- β were downregulated,

EXERCISE AND GENE EXPRESSION IN MYOSITIS

Table 1. Continued.

| ADAM12 ADAM metallopeptidase domain 12 (meltrin alpha) 226777, ct -3.7 0.004 FBN2 Fibrillin 2 (congenital contractural arachnodactyly) 203184, ct -3.2 0.014 FBN2 Fibrillin 2 (congenital contractural arachnodactyly) 203184, ct -2.6 0.014 MGP Matrix Gla protein 238481, ct -2.6 0.012 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor (hepapolein A: scatter factor) 210755, ct -2.3 0.010 COMP Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein 20571, st, ot -2.1 0.049 CHRD Chordin 211248, s, at -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 234996, ct -2 0.004 COLIA1 Colagen, type XIV, at 1 (undulin) 212865, s, at -1.8 0.031 COLIA1 Colagen, type XIV, at 1 (undulin) 212841, at -1.6 0.022 RFFI Eucline rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 212741, at -1.6 0.022 RFFI Leucher rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 203670, at -1.6 0.031 COLA1 Veral call achasion molecule 1 203670, at </th <th>Fibrosis genes</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> | Fibrosis genes | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|--------------|------|-------|
| FBN2 Fibrillin 2 (congenital contractural arachnodactyly) 203184_att -3.2 0.014 VIM Vimertin 1555938_x_at -3.1 0.045 MGP Matrix Gia protein 238481_at -2.6 0.012 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor (hepapoletin A: scatter factor) 210755_at -2.3 0.045 EDI.3 EGF-like repeats and discoldin -like domains 3 22575_at -2.3 0.049 CAIRD Chordin 211248_s_at -2.1 0.049 CAILCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 20517_st_ot -2.2 0.004 COL14A1 Collagen, type XIV a 1 (undulin) 212865_s_at -1.8 0.031 COL1A1 Collagen, type I. a 1 1556499_sat -1.7 0.04 MAOA Moncamine oxidase A 212741_at -1.6 0.022 IRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 22843_at -1.6 0.031 ICXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 20357_at -1.6 0.045 CSP62 Chondrolin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) | ADAM12 | ADAM metallopeptidase domain 12 (meltrin alpha) | 226777_at | -3.7 | 0.004 |
| VIM Vimentin 1555938.v.ct -3.1 0.045 MGP Matrix Gla protein 238481_ct -2.6 0.012 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor (hepapoletin A: scatter factor) 210755_ct -2.3 0.045 EDIL3 EGF-like repeats and discolclin Hike domains 3 225275_ct -2.3 0.012 COMP Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein 210755_ct -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 2349%_ct -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 2349%_ct -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 2349%_ct -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcidonin receptor-like 2349%_ct -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcidonin receptor-like 2349%_ct -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcidonin receptor-like 1656499_s_ct -1.7 0.04 MAOA Monoarrine oxidase A 212741_ct -1.6 0.022 LIRRIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 212843_ct | FBN2 | Fibrillin 2 (congenital contractural arachnodactyly) | 203184_at | -3.2 | 0.014 |
| M6P Matrix Gla protein 234841_cdt -2.6 0.045 HGF Hepatocyte grawth factor (hepapoletin A; scatter factor) 210755_at -2.3 0.045 EDIL3 EGF-like repeats and discoldin -like domains 3 22527_at -2.1 0.049 COMP Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein 205713_s_at -2.1 0.049 CHRD Chordin 201748_s_at -2.1 0.049 CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 234996_at -2.1 0.020 COL1AA1 Collagen, type XIV a 1 (undulin) 218865_s_at -1.8 0.031 COL1A1 Collagen, type XIV a 1 (undulin) 218865_s_at -1.7 0.04 MAOA Monoamine oxidase A 21274_1_at -1.6 0.021 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 21843_at -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.035 CIGF Connective fissue growth factor f binding protein 1 1.6 | VIM | Vimentin | 1555938_x_at | -3.1 | 0.045 |
| HGF Hepatocyte growth factor (hepapolefin A; scatter factor) 210755_at -2.3 0.045 EDIJ.3 EGF-like repeats and discoldin Hike domains 3 226275_at -2.1 0.049 COMP Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein 205713_s_at -2.1 0.049 CHRD Chordin 211248_s_at -2.1 0.049 CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 234996_at -2 0.016 COL14A1 Collagen, type XIX at 1 (undulin) 212865_s_at -1.8 0.031 COL14A1 Collagen-lysine_2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2 202620_s_at -1.7 0.04 PLOD2 Procollagen-lysine_2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2 202620_s_at -1.6 0.022 LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 227391_x_at -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Veyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.015 CTGF Connective tissue growth factor 209101_at -1.6 0.012 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 21244_at -1.6 0.015 | MGP | Matrix Gla protein | 238481_at | -2.6 | 0.012 |
| EDI.3 EGF-like repeats and discoidin l-like domains 3 225275_art -2.3 0.01 COMP Cartilage oligometic matrix protein 205713_s_at -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 234996_at -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 234996_at -2 0.016 COL14A1 Collagen, type I/a 1 1556499_s_at -1.8 0.031 COL14A1 Collagen, type I/a 1 1556499_s_at -1.7 0.044 MAOA Monoamine oxidase A 212741_at -1.6 0.001 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 212843_at -1.6 0.031 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 203570_at -1.6 0.031 COL5A2 Condroltin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_at -1.6 0.031 CALS2 Collagen, type V, a 2 20207_at -1.5 0.045 CSPG2 Condroltin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at | HGF | Hepatocyte growth factor (hepapoietin A; scatter factor) | 210755_at | -2.3 | 0.045 |
| COMP Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein 205713_s_oth -2.1 0.049 CHRD Chordin 211248_s_oth -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 2349%6_oth -2 0.004 FGF1 Fibroblast growth factor 1 (acidic) 205117_ath -2 0.016 COL1A1 Collagen, type XIV, a 1 (undulin) 212865_s_oth -1.8 0.031 COL1A1 Collagen, type XIV, a 1 (undulin) 202620_s_oth -1.7 0.04 MAOA Monoamine oxidase A 202620_s_oth -1.6 0.022 LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 212843_ath -1.6 0.031 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 21843_ath -1.6 0.045 CSPG2 Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_oth -1.5 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 20207_oth -1.5 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 20207_oth -1.5 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 20207_oth -1.5 < | EDIL3 | EGF-like repeats and discoidin I-like domains 3 | 225275_at | -2.3 | 0.01 |
| CHRD Chardin 211248_s_at -2.1 0.029 CALCRL Calcitonin receptorlike 234996_at -2 0.004 FGF1 Fibroblast growth factor 1 (acidic) 205117_at -2 0.004 COL14A1 Collagen, type XIV, α 1 (undulin) 212865_s_at -1.8 0.031 COL1A1 Collagen, type I a 1 1556499_s_ct -1.7 0.04 PLOD2 Procollagen-lysine, 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2 202620_s_at -1.7 0.04 MACA Monoarnine exidase A 212741_at -1.6 0.022 LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 227391_x_at -1.6 0.031 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 213843_at -1.6 0.045 CSPG2 Chondroifin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_s_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidagen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.024 COlfse Collagen, type V a 2 21729_at -1.5 0.024 NID Nidagen 1 256267_at 1.5 | COMP | Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein | 205713_s_at | -2.1 | 0.049 |
| CALCRL Calcitonin receptor-like 234996_at -2 0.004 FGF1 Fibroblast growth factor 1 (acidic) 205117_at -2 0.016 COL14A1 Collagen, type XIV, a 1 (undulin) 212865_s_at -1.8 0.031 COL1A1 Collagen, type I, a 1 1556499_s_at -1.7 0.04 PLOD2 Procollagen-lysine, 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2 202620_s_at -1.6 0.022 LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLI) interacting protein 1 227391_x_at -1.6 0.031 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 203570_at -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Lysy loxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.015 CTGF Connective tissue growth factor 209101_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidagen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V, a 2 21713_at -1.5 0.022 NID Nicagen, type V, a 2 21713_at -1.5 0.022 LIBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 | CHRD | Chordin | 211248_s_at | -2.1 | 0.029 |
| FGF1 Fibroblast growth factor 1 (acidic) 205117_at -2 0.016 COL1AA1 Collagen, type XIV a 1 (undulin) 212865_s_at -1.8 0.031 COL1A1 Collagen, type I, a 1 1556499_s_at -1.7 0.04 PLOD2 Procollagen-lysine, 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2 202620_s_at -1.7 0.04 MAOA Monoamine oxidase A 212741_at -1.6 0.022 LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 227391_x_at -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.015 CSPG2 Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V, a 2 202007_at -1.5 0.022 LIBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.024 MKAPA Microfibrillar-associated protein 4 21713_at -1.5 0.024 LIBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 < | CALCRL | Calcitonin receptor-like | 234996_at | -2 | 0.004 |
| COL14A1 Collagen, type XIV, α 1 (undulin) 212865_s_ct -1.8 0.031 COL1A1 Collagen, type I, α 1 1556499_s_ct -1.7 0.04 PLOD2 Procollagen-lysine, 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2 202620_s_ct -1.7 0.04 MAOA Monoarnine oxidase A 212741_ct -1.6 0.022 LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 227391_x_ct -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_ct -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_ct -1.6 0.044 CSPG2 Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_ct -1.6 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 202007_ct -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V, α 2 212713_ct -1.5 0.024 MFAP4 Microfibrillar-associated protein 4 212713_ct -1.5 0.034 PREP Prolyl endopeptidase 29464_ct 1.7 0.038 MMPI6 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) < | FGF1 | Fibroblast growth factor 1 (acidic) | 205117_at | -2 | 0.016 |
| COL1A1 Collagen, type I, α 1 1556499_s_att -1.7 0.04 PLOD2 Procollagen-lysine, 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2 202620_s_at -1.7 0.04 MAOA Monoamine oxidase A 212741_at -1.6 0.021 LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLI) interacting protein 1 227391_x_at -1.6 0.034 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 212843_at -1.6 0.045 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.045 CGFG Connective tissue growth factor 204619_s_at -1.6 0.021 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.022 COL5A2 Collagen, type V α 2 212713_at -1.5 0.022 LIBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 29644_at 1.7 0.038 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 29646_at -2.6 0.008 AK3 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_at | COL14A1 | Collagen, type XIV, α 1 (undulin) | 212865_s_at | -1.8 | 0.031 |
| PLOD2 Procollagen-lysine, 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2 202620_s_at -1.7 0.04 MAOA Monoarnine oxidase A 212741_at -1.6 0.022 LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 227391_x_at -1.6 0.034 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 213570_at -1.6 0.045 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.045 CSPG2 Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_at -1.6 0.015 CTGF Connective tissue growth factor 209101_at -1.5 0.021 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V, α 2 221729_at -1.5 0.024 IIBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 229644_at 1.7 0.038 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 208166_at -2.6 0.008 AK3 Adenylate kina | COLIAI | Collagen, type I, α 1 | 1556499_s_at | -1.7 | 0.04 |
| MAOA Monoamine oxidase A 212741_dt -1.6 0.022 LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 227391_x_at -1.6 0.001 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 212843_at -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.015 CSP62 Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V, α 2 221729_at -1.5 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.024 LTBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 228644_at 1.7 0.038 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 230630_at -2.6 0.008 ALDH1L1 Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member L1 1559766_at -2.6 0.039 ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A c | PLOD2 | Procollagen-lysine, 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2 | 202620_s_at | -1.7 | 0.04 |
| LRRFIP1 Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 227391_x_at -1.6 0.001 NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 212843_at -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.045 CSPG2 Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_at -1.6 0.022 CTGF Connective tissue growth factor 209101_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V, α 2 211729_at -1.5 0.022 IJBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 MRPA Microfibrillar-associated protein 4 212713_at -1.5 0.022 LIBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 MRPA Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 208166_at 2.7 0.041 Metabolism genes - - 0.038 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_at | MAOA | Monoamine oxidase A | 212741_at | -1.6 | 0.022 |
| NCAM1 Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 212843_ct -1.6 0.034 LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.045 CSPG2 Chondrolfin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_at -1.6 0.015 CTGF Connective tissue growth factor 209101_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V, α 2 21729_at -1.5 0.022 IIBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 229644_at 1.7 0.038 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 208166_at 2.7 0.041 Metabolism genes - - 230630_at -2.3 0.039 ALDH1L1 Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member L1 1559766_at -2.6 0.008 AK3 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_at -2.3 0.039 ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha | LRRFIP1 | Leucine rich repeat (in FLII) interacting protein 1 | 227391_x_at | -1.6 | 0.001 |
| LOXL1 Lysyl oxidase-like 1 203570_at -1.6 0.045 CSPG2 Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_at -1.6 0.015 CTGF Connective tissue growth factor 209101_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V, α 2 221729_at -1.5 0.045 MFAP4 Microfibrillar-associated protein 4 212713_at -1.5 0.022 LTBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 PREP Prolyl endopeptidase 229644_at 1.7 0.038 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 208166_at 2.7 0.034 Metabolism genes | NCAM1 | Neural cell adhesion molecule 1 | 212843_at | -1.6 | 0.034 |
| CSPG2 Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) 204619_s_at -1.6 0.015 CTGF Connective tissue growth factor 209101_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V α 2 221729_st -1.5 0.022 MFAP4 Microfibrillar-associated protein 4 212713_at -1.5 0.022 LTBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 MRP6 Matrix metallopeptidase 0 0.034 2.7 0.034 Metabolism genes ALDH1L1 Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member L1 1559766_at -2.6 0.008 AK3 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_st -2.3 0.039 ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha 212186_at -1.5 0.046 ATP2C1 ATPase, Ca*+ transporting, type 2C, member 1 209935_st -1.5 0.021 UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) 219827_at 1.6 0.039 <td>LOXL1</td> <td>Lysyl oxidase-like 1</td> <td>203570_at</td> <td>-1.6</td> <td>0.045</td> | LOXL1 | Lysyl oxidase-like 1 | 203570_at | -1.6 | 0.045 |
| CTGF Connective tissue growth factor 209101_at -1.6 0.022 NID Nidogen 1 202007_at -1.5 0.021 COL5A2 Collagen, type V, α 2 221729_at -1.5 0.046 MFAP4 Microfibrillar-associated protein 4 212713_at -1.5 0.022 LTBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 PREP Prolyl endopeptidase 229644_at 1.7 0.038 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 208166_at 2.7 0.041 Metabolism genes -1.5 0.039 -2.6 0.008 AK3 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_at -2.3 0.039 ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha 212186_at -1.5 0.024 ATP2C1 ATPase, Ca ⁺⁺ transporting, type 2C, member 1 209935_at -1.5 0.022 UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) 219827_at 1.6 0.039 UQCRC2 Ubiquinol-cytochrome | CSPG2 | Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (versican) | 204619_s_at | -1.6 | 0.015 |
| NIDNidogen 1202007_at-1.50.021COL5A2Collagen, type V, α 2221729_at-1.50.046MFAP4Microfibrillar-associated protein 4212713_at-1.50.022LTBP1Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 11566267_at1.50.034PREPProlyl endopeptidase229644_at1.70.038MMP16Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted)208166_at2.70.041Metabolism genes-2.60.008AK3Adenylate kinase 3-like 1230630_at-2.30.039ACACAAcetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha212186_at-1.50.02UCP3Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier)219827_at1.60.005UQCRC2Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II239465_at1.60.039ATP1B2ATPase, Na*/K* transporting, β2 polypeptide204311_at1.80.025 | CTGF | Connective tissue growth factor | 209101_at | -1.6 | 0.022 |
| $COL5A2$ Collagen, type V, α 2221729_at-1.50.046MFAP4Microfibrillar-associated protein 4212713_at-1.50.022LTBP1Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 11566267_at1.50.034PREPProlyl endopeptidase229644_at1.70.038MMP16Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted)208166_at2.70.041Metabolism genes1559766_at-2.60.008AK3Adenylate kinase 3-like 1230630_at-2.30.039ACACAAcetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha212186_at-1.50.046ATP2C1ATPase, Ca*+ transporting, type 2C, member 1209935_at-1.50.02UCP3Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier)219827_at1.60.005UQCRC2Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II239465_at1.60.039ATP1B2ATPase, Na*/K* transporting, β 2 polypeptide204311_at1.80.025 | NID | Nidogen 1 | 202007_at | -1.5 | 0.021 |
| MFAP4 Microfibrillar-associated protein 4 212713_at -1.5 0.022 LTBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 PREP Prolyl endopeptidase 229644_at 1.7 0.038 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 208166_at 2.7 0.041 Metabolism genes | COL5A2 | Collagen, type V, α 2 | 221729_at | -1.5 | 0.046 |
| LTBP1 Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 1566267_at 1.5 0.034 PREP Prolyl endopeptidase 229644_at 1.7 0.038 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 208166_at 2.7 0.041 Metabolism genes | MFAP4 | Microfibrillar-associated protein 4 | 212713_at | -1.5 | 0.022 |
| PREP Prolyl endopeptidase 229644_at 1.7 0.038 MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 208166_at 2.7 0.041 Metabolism genes ALDH1L1 Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member L1 1559766_at -2.6 0.008 AK3 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_at -2.3 0.039 ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha 212186_at -1.5 0.046 ATP2C1 ATPase, Ca ⁺⁺ transporting, type 2C, member 1 209935_at -1.5 0.02 UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) 219827_at 1.6 0.0039 UQCRC2 Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II 239465_at 1.6 0.039 ATP1B2 ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, β2 polypeptide 204311_at 1.8 0.025 | LTBP 1 | Latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1 | 1566267_at | 1.5 | 0.034 |
| MMP16 Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) 208166_at 2.7 0.041 Metabolism genes ALDH1L1 Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member L1 1559766_at -2.6 0.008 AK3 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_at -2.3 0.039 ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha 212186_at -1.5 0.046 ATP2C1 ATPase, Ca ⁺⁺ transporting, type 2C, member 1 209935_at -1.5 0.02 UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) 219827_at 1.6 0.005 UQCRC2 Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II 239465_at 1.6 0.039 ATP1B2 ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, β2 polypeptide 204311_at 1.8 0.025 | PREP | Prolyl endopeptidase | 229644_at | 1.7 | 0.038 |
| Metabolism genes ALDH1L1 Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member L1 1559766_at -2.6 0.008 AK3 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_at -2.3 0.039 ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha 212186_at -1.5 0.046 ATP2C1 ATPase, Ca ⁺⁺ transporting, type 2C, member 1 209935_at -1.5 0.02 UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) 219827_at 1.6 0.005 UQCRC2 Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II 239465_at 1.6 0.039 ATP1B2 ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, β2 polypeptide 204311_at 1.8 0.025 | MMP16 | Matrix metallopeptidase 16 (membrane-inserted) | 208166_at | 2.7 | 0.041 |
| ALDH1L1 Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member L1 1559766_at -2.6 0.008 AK3 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_at -2.3 0.039 ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha 212186_at -1.5 0.046 ATP2C1 ATPase, Ca ⁺⁺ transporting, type 2C, member 1 209935_at -1.5 0.02 UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) 219827_at 1.6 0.005 UQCRC2 Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II 239465_at 1.6 0.039 ATP1B2 ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, β2 polypeptide 204311_at 1.8 0.025 | Metabolism gene | 28 | | | |
| AK3 Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 230630_at -2.3 0.039 ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha 212186_at -1.5 0.046 ATP2C1 ATPase, Ca ⁺⁺ transporting, type 2C, member 1 209935_at -1.5 0.02 UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) 219827_at 1.6 0.005 UQCRC2 Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II 239465_at 1.6 0.039 ATP1B2 ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, β2 polypeptide 204311_at 1.8 0.025 | ALDH1L1 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member L1 | 1559766_at | -2.6 | 0.008 |
| ACACA Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha 212186_at -1.5 0.046 ATP2C1 ATPase, Ca ⁺⁺ transporting, type 2C, member 1 209935_at -1.5 0.02 UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) 219827_at 1.6 0.005 UQCRC2 Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II 239465_at 1.6 0.039 ATP1B2 ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, β2 polypeptide 204311_at 1.8 0.025 | AK3 | Adenylate kinase 3-like 1 | 230630_at | -2.3 | 0.039 |
| ATP2C1 ATPase, Ca ⁺⁺ transporting, type 2C, member 1 209935_at -1.5 0.02 UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) 219827_at 1.6 0.005 UQCRC2 Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II 239465_at 1.6 0.039 ATP1B2 ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, β2 polypeptide 204311_at 1.8 0.025 | ACACA | Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha | 212186_at | -1.5 | 0.046 |
| UCP3Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier)219827_at1.60.005UQCRC2Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II239465_at1.60.039ATP1B2ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, β 2 polypeptide204311_at1.80.025 | ATP2C1 | ATPase, Ca ⁺⁺ transporting, type 2C, member 1 | 209935_at | -1.5 | 0.02 |
| UQCRC2Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II239465_at1.60.039ATP1B2ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, $\beta 2$ polypeptide204311_at1.80.025 | UCP3 | Uncoupling protein 3 (mitochondrial, proton carrier) | 219827_at | 1.6 | 0.005 |
| ATP1B2ATPase, Na^+/K^+ transporting, $\beta 2$ polypeptide204311_at1.80.025 | UQCRC2 | Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase core protein II | 239465_at | 1.6 | 0.039 |
| | ATP1B2 | ATPase, Na ⁺ /K ⁺ transporting, β 2 polypeptide | 204311_at | 1.8 | 0.025 |

and a negative modulator of TGF- β (*LTBP-1*) was induced by resistance exercise (Figure 3A). A hypothetical model of this regulatory gene network leading to decreased fibrosis is displayed in Figure 3B. Consistent with this model, a marked decrease in connective tissue (nonmuscle) area was observed (Figure 4A, B), which reflected the significant 24.7 ± 8.2% decrease in collagen I content (Figure 4C, D).

Resistance Exercise Upregulated Genes Involved in Oxidative Metabolism and Downregulated Genes Involved in Lipid Biosynthesis

Resistance exercise resulted in the modulation of genes involved in energy metabolism (Table 1). This is reflected by a reduction in genes involved in lipid biosynthesis, for example, *acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase* α (*ACACA*) and an increased expression of the mitochondrial genes, for example, *ATPase* Na⁺/K⁺ transporter (*ATP1B2*).

Immunohistochemistry Results from Muscle Tissue after Resistance Exercise

A low degree of inflammation with scattered T-cells and macrophages was found in muscle biopsies in six of seven patients, and this was unchanged after the resistance exercise period. One patient who had several inflammatory cell infiltrates displayed a >100% reduction in expression of CD3⁺ T-cells and CD163⁺ macrophages by computerized image analysis and also a decreased extranuclear HMGB-1 expression (data not shown). Proinflammatory cytokines and receptors, previously detected in muscle tissue of myositis patients (IL-1 α , IL-1 β , IL-1 receptor [R] I and IL-1-RII), were present in most biopsies with a low expression and were unchanged after training. Generally, <5% of the fibers expressed MHC class I and II, and there was no statistically significant change after the resistance exercise period.

DISCUSSION

Exercise training has beneficial effects on systemic inflammation, as determined by a reduction in selective serum markers such as IL-6, C-reactive protein and



| Microarray | PCR |
|------------|---|
| -1.5 | -1.3 |
| -2.1 | -2.4 |
| -1.8 | -2.8 |
| -1.5 | -1.6 |
| -1.5 | -1.5 |
| -1.7 | -3 |
| -3.5 | -2.1 |
| -1.7 | -1.6 |
| -2.1 | -3.4 |
| -1.6 | -2.5 |
| 1.5 | 1.3 |
| | Microarray -1.5 -2.1 -1.8 -1.5 -1.5 -1.7 -3.5 -1.7 -2.1 -1.6 1.5 |

Figure 1. Validation of selected target gene by qRT-PCR. The PCR results suggest a good correlation ($R^2 = 0.62$) between the changes in gene expression detected by microarray and qRT-PCR.

B)

TNF in healthy individuals (22). However, little is known about the effects of exercise training on inflammation in patients suffering from chronic inflammatory disorders. In the present study, we demonstrate that 7 weeks of resistance exercise modulated the expression of genes involved in inflammation, fibrosis and metabolism in muscle from polymyositis/dermatomyositis patients. These postexercise changes in gene expression may explain the improved muscle performance observed in our patients, and seem to agree with the conclusion that resistance exercise can improve muscle performance in polymyositis/dermatomyositis patients without disease exacerbation by posi-



Figure 2. (A) Identification of an exercise-induced gene network involved in tissue inflammation using the Ingenuity Pathways Analysis knowledge database. Several genes regulated by TNF α were negatively modulated by resistance exercise, suggesting that they may play a role in the antiinflammatory effects of exercise in myositis patients (see text for further explanations). (B) Model of exercise-induced reduction in inflammation in autoimmune myositis patients. Based on the experimental expression data generated by the microarray analysis, we developed a hypothetical model in which three discrete steps in the regulation of tissue inflammation can be identified, suggesting that exercise could reduce inflammation by downregulating the expression of genes involved in activation and proliferation of T-cells and macrophages, migration and adhesion. (Panel A image: © 2000-2010 Ingenuity Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.)



Figure 3. (A) Identification of an exercise-induced gene network involved in tissue fibrosis using the Ingenuity Pathways Analysis knowledge database. Several genes regulated by TGF- β were negatively modulated, and a negative modulator of TGF- β (*LTBP1*) was induced by exercise in a manner consistent with the reduction in tissue fibrosis and improved performance in myositis patients (see text for further information). (B) Model of exercise-induced reduction in fibrosis in autoimmune myositis patients. Collagen synthesis is suppressed because of a reduction in growth factor production and an increase in inhibitory molecules such as *LTBP1*. The reduction in collagen processing likely contributes to the decrease in ECM deposition. This, together with an increase in ECM degradation by collagen-cleaving enzymes and matrix metalloproteases, will result in a reduction in tissue fibrosis. (Panel A image: © 2000-2010 Ingenuity Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.)

tively modulating genes involved in the disease process.

The modified expression pattern of genes involved in inflammation suggests that resistance exercise induced a coordinated reduction of proinflammatory transcripts and an increase in antiinflammatory transcripts. For example, PTGS1, previously found to be chronically upregulated in myositis and refractory to conventional immunosuppressive treatment (23), was reduced after training, and the upregulation of FOXP3 was consistent with the downregulation of SMAD7-dependent signaling, suggesting a coordinated modulation of T-cell activity (24). Similarly, the upregulation of NPY2R, a negative effector of monocytes (25), further supports a resistance exercise-mediated antiinflammatory effect. These findings

suggest that resistance exercise can improve muscle function in myositis patients by modulating inflammationassociated gene expression in skeletal muscle and seem to agree with previous reports from chronic heart failure and frail elderly men and women, where exercise training resulted in a significant reduction in the local expression of inflammatory molecules such as $TNF\alpha$ (26,27).

Although a reduction in TNF α mRNA was not detectable in our patients, the interpretation of a TNF-related gene network as the target for the local immunosuppressive effects of resistance exercise is consistent with a role in the overall decrease in disease activity after training (Figure 2B). In the present study, serum C1q levels were reduced after resistance exercise and likely modulated by the reduced expression of *C1S* mRNA. Altogether, our findings support the interpretation that resistance exercise can reduce the expression of proinflammatory genes in autoimmune myositis patients. The lack of a significant decrease at the protein level of inflammatory molecules may be explained by the low expression before exercise and the low sensitivity of immunohistochemistry for quantitative measures. Another possibility is that the observed changes in mRNA levels do not reflect changes in protein synthesis.

The second largest group of genes that was changed with resistance exercise was genes involved in fibrosis. Muscle fibrosis may over time expand and increase relative to the contractile tissue resulting in weakness and decreased performance. In the present study, 7 weeks of resistance exercise was sufficient to produce a significant reduc-



Figure 4. Exercise training reduced fibrosis in myositis muscles. Resistance exercise training resulted in a significant reduction in nonmuscle area infiltrates. (A) Gross morphological analysis via Gomori trichrome staining pre- and posttraining (fibrotic areas are indicated by arrows). (B) Quantitative analysis of tissue sections demonstrates a decrease in nonmuscle area. (C) Type I collagen protein levels in pre- and posttraining biopsies in individual patients. (D) Type I collagen levels were reduced significantly after resistance training (n = 6; *P = 0.01). AU, arbitrary units. Data are means ± SEM.

tion of tissue fibrosis, as determined by gross morphological examination and the specific decrease of collagen I levels. Consistent with this finding, posttraining analysis of gene expression revealed that resistance exercise also suppressed the expression of a profibrogenic molecular signature consisting of genes involved in collagen synthesis, processing and extracellular matrix assembly and deposition (network depicted in Figure 3). Our bioinformatics analysis identified that a subset of transcripts modulated by resistance exercise is associated with TGF-β signaling (Figure 3A). Furthermore, the increased expression of LTBP-1, a negative modulator of TGF- β (28), supports the interpretation that downregulation of a TGF-β-associated gene network may mediate the effects of resistance exercise by decreasing muscle fibrosis, not only by suppressing the synthesis of collagen and other ECM components, but also by increasing collagenolysis and elastolysis by matrix metalloproteinases, such as matrix metallopeptidase 16 (MMP16), and other collagen-cleaving enzymes, such as prolyl endopeptidase (PREP) (Figure 3B).

Noticeably, resistance exercise exerted a modulatory effect on both networks, but the precise mechanisms by which this occurred, or whether they can modulate one another in this particular condition, remain to be elucidated. For example, depletion of T lymphocytes in *mdx* mice resulted in a reduction in muscle fibrosis, suggesting a direct link between muscle inflammation and the aberrant accumulation of ECM (29).

Finally, we found that resistance exercise improved the metabolic profile of myositis muscle. Our training protocol resulted in a shift in the muscle's metabolic gene profile toward a more oxidative state. Both AK3 and ATP1B2 were reduced after training, suggesting a decreased reliance on immediate energy production mechanisms (30). Conversely, the increased expression of transcripts coding for respiratory enzymes UCP3 (a mitochondrial proton carrier) and UQCRC2 and the decreased ACACA expression suggest that training may have stimulated the activity of oxidative metabolism and decreased lipid biosynthesis, respectively. The increase in oxidative gene expression is in line with

the observed increase in VO_{2max} . A similar effect on VO_{2max} was recently reported after strength training in elderly men (31).

A potential limitation of the study is the absence of a nontrained control group. However, we feel that the repeated muscle biopsy design, where each individual served as its own control, compensated for the absence of a separate control group and was better suited for the microarray analysis, since this design reduces the effects of genetic heterogeneity on gene expression (32). Repeat muscle biopsies also compensated for the low subject number and, together with the high stringency microarray analysis, contributed to a final reliable dataset from which some selected genes could be confirmed by qRT-PCR and could functionally begin to explain the effects of resistance exercise on both inflammation and fibrosis outcomes. Furthermore, we did not find any differences in gene expression profiles between polymyositis and dermatomyositis patients, which is in agreement with previously published data on muscle cytokines and chemokines despite differences in inflammatory cell infiltrates. This finding could also suggest that, in the chronic phase of disease with a low degree of inflammation, shared disease mechanisms could contribute to the impaired performance between the myositis subsets.

In conclusion, resistance exercise may restore muscle function in autoimmune myositis patients by reducing inflammation and tissue fibrosis and by improving metabolic homeostasis, events that were reflected by the corresponding molecular signatures represented by the reduced expression of proinflammatory and profibrotic gene networks and by the increased expression of oxidative metabolism genes. In addition, our results demonstrate that high-throughput analysis of skeletal muscle gene expression can provide useful information for the identification of novel disease biomarkers and potential targets for pharmacological interventions of autoimmune myositis patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Eva Lindroos and Karin Lundin for support with immunohistochemical analyses and Christina Ottosson for assistance with the muscle biopsies.

This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council (03642) K2005-74X-14045-05AK, the Myositis Association, the Swedish Rheumatism Association, King Gustaf V 80-year foundation, Karolinska Institutet Foundation, Stockholm County Council, through the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research (ALF) between Stockholm County Council and Karolinska Institutet, AutoCure, the EU FP6 project (contract number LSHB-CT-2006-018661), National Institutes of Health grants NS-029525 and NIH RO1-AR050478 (to K Nagaraju) and National Center for Medical Rehabilitation Research (NCMRR) (grant 5R24HD050846), the Mental Retardation Developmental Disabilities Research Center (MRDDRC) at Children's National Medical Center (grant 1U54HD053177), and NIH grant 3R01-NS29525-13 to EP Hoffman. The Swedish Center for Sports Research (CIF) supported GA Nader.

The funding organizations had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

DISCLOSURE

The authors declare that they have no competing interests as defined by *Molecular Medicine*, or other interests that might be perceived to influence the results and discussion reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- Sultan SM, Ioannou Y, Moss K, Isenberg DA. (2002) Outcome in patients with idiopathic inflammatory myositis: morbidity and mortality. *Rheumatol. (Oxford)* 41:22–6.
- Nyberg P, Wikman AL, Nennesmo I, Lundberg I. (2000) Increased expression of interleukin 1alpha and MHC class I in muscle tissue of patients with chronic, inactive polymyositis and dermatomyositis. J. Rheumatol. 27:940–8.
- Cea G, et al. (2002) Reduced oxidative phosphorylation and proton efflux suggest reduced capillary blood supply in skeletal muscle of patients with dermatomyositis and polymyositis: a quan-

titative 31P-magnetic resonance spectroscopy and MRI study. *Brain* 125:1635–45.

- Wiesinger GF, *et al.* (1998) Improvement of physical fitness and muscle strength in polymyositis/dermatomyositis patients by a training programme. *Br. J. Rheumatol.* 37:196–200.
- Alexanderson H, Stenstrom CH, Jenner G, Lundberg I. (2000) The safety of a resistive home exercise program in patients with recent onset active polymyositis or dermatomyositis. *Scand. J. Rheumatol.* 29:295–301.
- Lundberg I, Ulfgren AK, Nyberg P, Andersson U, Klareskog L. (1997) Cytokine production in muscle tissue of patients with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. *Arthritis Rheum*. 40:865–74.
- Ulfgren AK, et al. (2004) Down-regulation of the aberrant expression of the inflammation mediator high mobility group box chromosomal protein 1 in muscle tissue of patients with polymyositis and dermatomyositis treated with corticosteroids. Arthritis Rheum. 50:1586–94.
- Grundtman C, et al. (2010) Effects of HMGB1 on in vitro responses of isolated muscle fibers and functional aspects in skeletal muscles of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. FASEB J. 24:570–8.
- Reid MB, Lannergren J, Westerblad H. (2002) Respiratory and limb muscle weakness induced by tumor necrosis factor-alpha: involvement of muscle myofilaments. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 166:479–84.
- Kjaer M. (2004) Role of extracellular matrix in adaptation of tendon and skeletal muscle to mechanical loading. *Physiol. Rev.* 84:649–98.
- Bohan A, Peter JB. (1975) Polymyositis and dermatomyositis (first of two parts). N. Engl. J. Med. 292:344–7.
- Alexanderson H, Dastmalchi M, Esbjornsson-Liljedahl M, Opava CH, Lundberg IE. (2007) Benefits of intensive resistance training in patients with chronic polymyositis or dermatomyositis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 57:768–77.
- Howley ET, Bassett DR Jr, Welch HG. (1995) Criteria for maximal oxygen uptake: review and commentary. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 27:1292–301.
- Miller FW, et al. (2001) Proposed preliminary core set measures for disease outcome assessment in adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 40:1262–73.
- Dorph C, Nennesmo I, Lundberg IE. (2001) Percutaneous conchotome muscle biopsy: a useful diagnostic and assessment tool. *J. Rheumatol.* 28:1591–9.
- Malm C, et al. (2000) Immunological changes in human skeletal muscle and blood after eccentric exercise and multiple biopsies. J. Physiol. 529:243–62.
- Tumor Analysis Best Practices Working Group. (2004) Expression profiling: best practices for data generation and interpretation in clinical trials. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 5:229–37.
- Li C, Wong WH. (2001) Model-based analysis of oligonucleotide arrays: expression index computation and outlier detection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 98:31–6.

- Grundtman C, et al. (2007) Immunolocalization of interleukin-1 receptors in the sarcolemma and nuclei of skeletal muscle in patients with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. *Arthritis Rheum.* 56:674–87.
- Barbasso Helmers S, et al. (2007) Limited effects of high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) treatment on molecular expression in muscle tissue of patients with inflammatory myopathies. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 66:1276–83.
- Curtis AS. (1960) Area and volume measurements by random sampling methods. *Med. Biol. Illus.* 10:261–6.
- Petersen AM, Pedersen BK. (2005) The antiinflammatory effect of exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 98:1154–62.
- Korotkova M, et al. (2008) Effects of immunosuppressive treatment on microsomal prostaglandin E synthase 1 and cyclooxygenases expression in muscle tissue of patients with polymyositis or dermatomyositis. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 67:1596–602.
- Fantini MC, et al. (2004) Cutting edge: TGF-beta induces a regulatory phenotype in CD4+CD25-T cells through Foxp3 induction and downregulation of Smad7. J. Immunol. 172:5149–53.
- Nave H, et al. (2004) Reduced tissue immigration of monocytes by neuropeptide Y during endotoxemia is associated with Y2 receptor activation. J. Neuroimmunol. 155:1–12.
- Gielen S, et al. (2003) Anti-inflammatory effects of exercise training in the skeletal muscle of patients with chronic heart failure. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 42:861–8.
- Gielen S, et al. (2005) Exercise training in chronic heart failure: correlation between reduced local inflammation and improved oxidative capacity in the skeletal muscle. Eur. J. Cardiovasc. Prev. Rehabil. 12:393–400.
- Taipale J, Miyazono K, Heldin CH, Keski-Oja J. (1994) Latent transforming growth factor-beta 1 associates to fibroblast extracellular matrix via latent TGF-beta binding protein. J. Cell. Biol. 124:171–81.
- Morrison J, Palmer DB, Cobbold S, Partridge T, Bou-Gharios G. (2005) Effects of T-lymphocyte depletion on muscle fibrosis in the mdx mouse. *Am. J. Pathol.* 166:1701–10.
- Hundal HS, Klip A. (1993) Regulation of glucose transporters and the Na/K-ATPase by insulin in skeletal muscle. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 334:63–78.
- Lovell DI, Cuneo R, Gass GC. (2009) Strength training improves submaximum cardiovascular performance in older men. J. Geriatr. Phys. Ther. 32:117–24.
- Bakay M, et al. (2002) Sources of variability and effect of experimental approach on expression profiling data interpretation. BMC Bioinformatics 3:4.